Mathematical Descriptions of Bone Remodeling Dynamics in Myeloma Bone Disease

Bruce P. Ayati

Department of Mathematics and Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics University of Iowa

Presented at the 13th International Myeloma Workshop Carrousel du Louvre, Paris, France

May 3, 2011



Conflicts of Interest

I have no conflicts of interest.



Value of a Mathematical Model

► Basic science:

◇Link mechanisms to phenomena◇Generate hypotheses

Rapid initial screening of drugs and therapies

Predictive models facilitate patient specific medicine



Level of Abstraction

Picasso's Bulls











Nature of Abstraction



Abstraction in a mathematical model:

Emphasize important relationships

Represent things by what they do more than what they are

Georges Braque, Woman with a Guitar, 1913. Musée National d'Art Moderne



Non-Spatial Model

- Our representation of normal bone remodeling dynamics is taken from Komarova et al. 2003
- Power laws in the equations for cell types implicitly capture the cell signaling.





Non-Spatial Model

- A model with explicit compartments for the signaling molecules is given by Pivonka et al. 2008
- An approach of this type could form the basis of a more mechanistic model





Non-Spatial Model





Model Equations

- We modify the Komarova power laws to incorporate the impact of myeloma cells on bone remodeling
- We add an equation for growth of myeloma cells which can include the effects of a proteasome inhibitor:
 - ♦ direct anti-myeloma effects and direct stimulation of osteoblast differentiation



Model Equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}C(t) &= \alpha_1 C(t)^{g_{11}(1+r_{11}\frac{T(t)}{L_T})} B(t)^{g_{21}(1+r_{21}\frac{T(t)}{L_T})} \\ &- \beta_1 C(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}B(t) &= \alpha_2 C(t)^{g_{12}/(1+r_{12}\frac{T(t)}{L_T})} B(t)^{g_{22}-r_{22}\frac{T(t)}{L_T}} \\ &- (\beta_2 - V_1(t))B(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt}T(t) &= (\gamma_T - V_2(t))T(t) \log\left(\frac{L_T}{T(t)}\right). \end{split}$$

Uni

OF

Ayati, Edwards, Webb, Wikswo, Biology Direct 2010

Model Results

The model was found to reflect accurately the basics of myeloma bone disease

tumor burden is decreased and bone volume or markers of bone formation are increased in response to proteasome inhibitor



Spatial model

Current work: embedding the models for local interactions into a spatial model that reflects what are seen in sections of bone marrow biopsy

2D level-set formulation with normal bone remodeling is complete (Graham, Ayati, Ramakrishnan, Martin, submitted)

Need refinement and parameterization of the local dynamics and extension to 3D

➤We use a level set to define regions of bone and marrow.

➤The interface moves according to the local dynamics of the interacting cell types (nomyeloma case), which are also simulated.

A circular geometry is chosen for illustrative purposes and simplicity.

0.1

0.1



Snapshots during remodeling of a circular section of trabecular bone at three remodeling sites.



➢In addition to the bone/marrow interface, we compute the densities of osteoclasts and osteoblasts (and in other simulations the explicit concentrations of RANKL and OPG concentrations).

The local Ob/Oc interactions are what actually drive the bone/marrow interface dynamics in our simulation.

350

300

250

0.1

t=20 days



t=0 days



t=30 days



t=150 days

0.05

0.1

0.05

٥

Snapshots during remodeling of osteoblast densities at three remodeling sites.



Spatial Model (Extension)



The level set method is designed for much more complicated geometries.

Framework extends naturally to these geometries at a range of spatial scales



Figure courtesy of C. Edwards

Thanks

- > My graduate student Jason Graham
- Claire Edwards, Glenn Webb and John Wikswo at Vanderbilt University
- The Vanderbilt Integrative Cancer Biology Center (VICBC)
- Jim Martin and Prem Ramakrishnan at University of Iowa Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation
- Régis Bataille and the organizers of the 13th IMW for their kind invitation to speak

